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Abstract
In the former Soviet Union the economy of the Georgian Republic occupied advanced positions. At the very first stage of the restoration of independence the post-Soviet Georgia’s economy declined 3-times; in the following years, the situation improved, but it reached the 1990 level only after 15 years. In spite of difficult problems of development it should be singled out the middle period progress of the 90-ies when the country introduced a new currency - Lari, created conditions for attracting foreign investments, economic growth was expressed in double-digit figures; it created an independent banking system, rapidly implemented the program of privatization, strengthened the links with international financial organizations and others. By this time, the private sector’s share in the state budget revenues was already 80%. After the end of the 90-ies, in a few years, economic reforms stalled. After the “Rose Revolution” - until 2012, the development of economy was greatly influenced by tightened administrative methods, foreign loans, foreign investment, and so forth. Unfortunately, at this stage of development, a property encroachment for the government became common practice in such a way as pressure on business. In recent four years, more attention was paid to the actual development, deepening of integration with European Union, the governmental pressure on business was removed. However, because of the lack of jobs, labour force continues to flow abroad.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Among the former Soviet republics Georgia’s economy held leading positions. At the initial stage of the restoration of independence the volume of Georgia’s economy decreased about 3-times. It reached the 1990 level after 15 years. Despite the most difficult problems of development, in the mid-period of the 90-ies the country was able: to introduce a new national currency – Lari, to create conditions for attracting foreign investments, to fix economic growth rates in double-digit figures, to create a banking system (Silagadze, N, 2011), to implement the privatization program, to strengthen the links with international financial organizations and so forth. By this time, the private sector’s share in the state budget revenues had already reached 80% (Atanelishvili, 2006, 2013; Basilia, Silagadze, A. and Chikvaidze, 2001; Papa, 2013; Silagadze, A, Zubiashvili and Atanelishvili, 2016).

After the end of the 90-ies the economic reforms stalled. After the “Rose Revolution” - until 2012, the development of economy was greatly influenced by tightened administrative methods, foreign loans, foreign investment, and so forth. (Silagadze, A, 2010-2015; Silagadze, A. and Atanelishvili, 2010, 2011, 2014; Silagadze, A. and Gelashvili, 2008, 2009; Silagadze, A. and Zubiashvili, 2015; Silagadze, A. and Tokmazishvili, 2008, 2009). Unfortunately, at this stage of development, a property encroachment for the government became common practice. In the following period the government’s pressure on business was removed, more attention was paid to the real sector and the acceleration of integration with the European Union. The aspects of this problem were investigated by many scientists in their works (see References).

II. GENERAL ANALYSIS

Construction of the models of market economy in the majority of post-Soviet countries was somewhat similar. Among them, the pace of economic development was more visible in energy-rich and fuel-exporting countries. In 2014, compared to 1990, the gross domestic product increased in Turkmenistan - 14.4 times, in Azerbaijan - 8.5 times, Kazakhstan - 7.8 times, and in Russia - 3.6 times. The export of energy products performed the main role in the economic growth of these countries (Tvalchrelidze and Silagadze, A., 2011, 2013; Silagadze L, 2015; Силагадзе, А., 1991, 2013; Силагадзе, А. и Атанелишвили, 2010). Nevertheless, innovative changes in the above-mentioned countries economy is less observed, which creates threats of unstable development. (Tvalchrelidze, Silagadze, A., Keshelashvili and Gegia, 2011).
Of the post-Soviet countries, the economies of the new associated member-countries of the European Union (Moldova, Georgia, Ukraine) (Silagadze, A., Tokmazishvili and Atanelishvili, 2014; Silagadze, A., 2010, 2015), were faced with a difficult problem because of encroachment on territorial integrity. The volume of Ukraine’s GDP and the GDP per capita in 2014, compared to previous year, decreased by 28% and 23% respectively. (Worldbank.org/indicator). It’s already obvious that after 5-6 years it will be difficult for the country (and for other countries too) to achieve the EU integration economic parameters. Some aspects of the study of this problem are given in the works of various scientists.

Georgia’s GDP in 2014 amounted to 16.5 billion US dollars; the GDP growth rate was 4.6% and the GDP per capita amounted to 3676 US dollars. (http://geostat.ge/?action=page&pid=118&lang=geo). These figures are not sufficient for the country desiring to join the European Union in the medium term.

Unfortunately, in recent years, in Georgia, less attention was paid to agriculture having the unique capabilities for development and the focus was shifted to the import of these products. In 2010, the share of agriculture in GDP fell to the critical 8.4% and in this light, the increase of the share of agricultural products in GDP should be considered as a positive moment. (Figure 1). However, this figure is clearly insufficient for the sector, which employs more than half of the total employed. The main problem in this sector is the calculation of the employed categories, according to which all those who owns a plot of land are considered as employed.

Figure 1. The share of agriculture in GDP (%)
Source: http://geostat.ge/?action=page&p_id=118&lang=geo

The Georgia’s government, with the goal of external positions sustainability, provides for the promotion of such long-term capital inflows as foreign direct investment. This will be promoted by the Government’s recent proposal for tax exemption from the profit of refinanced funds in production.

Direct investments made in Georgia in 2014 exceeded 1.7 billion US dollars. (Geostat.ge; Silagadze L., 2015). Currently, the biggest major investors are: Azerbaijan, the Netherlands, Turkey, United Kingdom, China and others. Most of the FDI flows in sectors such as: Transport and communications, financial sector, construction, manufacturing industry and others. (http://geostat.ge/?action=page&p_id=139&lang=geo). FDI plays an important role in GDP growth. (Figure 2).

Figure 2. The Ratio of FDI to GDP in Georgia, 2010-2014, (%)
http://geostat.ge/?action=page&p_id=139&lang=geo

In previous years, the FDI didn’t encourage the introduction of innovations in economy for long-term growth, sharp decrease of unemployment rate that is the precondition for export growth and diversification and creating new jobs. (Figure 3).
Over the years, the policy which was carried out in Georgia didn’t produce desired results in terms of the enhancement of export competitiveness: There is little scope for diversification of exports; in 2013-2014 the volume of export increased, however, the ratio of import to export and accordingly, the foreign trade negative balance is stably high, which is a serious macroeconomic risk for the country. (Table 1).

Table 1. Foreign trade dynamics in 2007-2012, mln USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreign trade turnover</td>
<td>6444</td>
<td>7797</td>
<td>5634</td>
<td>6935</td>
<td>9225</td>
<td>10413</td>
<td>10921</td>
<td>11454</td>
<td>9932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered export of goods (FOB)</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>2187</td>
<td>2376</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td>2204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered import of goods (CIF)</td>
<td>5212</td>
<td>6302</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5257</td>
<td>7038</td>
<td>8037</td>
<td>8012</td>
<td>8593</td>
<td>7728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign trade balance</td>
<td>-3980</td>
<td>-4806</td>
<td>-3367</td>
<td>-3580</td>
<td>-4852</td>
<td>-5661</td>
<td>-5102</td>
<td>-5733</td>
<td>-5524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Preliminary data.

III. CONCLUSION

- The Republic of Georgia was distinguished by economic indicators from the former Soviet republics, but in the very first years after the restoration of independence the volume of economy sharply declined and it reached the 1990 level after 15 years;
- In spite of the most difficult problems of development, in the mid-90-ies the country managed to introduce a new national currency – Lari, to create the conditions for attracting foreign investments, to fix economic growth rates in double-digit figures, to create an independent banking system, to implement privatization program, to strengthen the relations international financial organizations, etc.;
- The end of the economic reforms stalled;
- From the "Rose Revolution" - until 2012, the development of economy was greatly influenced by tightened administrative methods, foreign loans, foreign investments and others. Unfortunately, at this stage of development, a property encroachment for the government became common practice;
- In the last four years, the government’s pressure on business was removed; more attention was paid to the real sector development, direct foreign investment incentives, foreign debt and foreign trade negative balance reduction, enhanced acceleration of integration with the EU, etc. But achieved macroeconomic indicators so far are not sufficient for aligning with the EU in the medium term.
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